

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,394		08/18/2003	Yoshinori Tsubaki	03478/HG	3403
1933	7590	08/22/2006		EXAMINER	
	•	TZ, GOODMAN &	SCHWARTZ,	SCHWARTZ, PAMELA R	
220 Fifth Av 16TH Floor				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YOR	NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			1774	
				DATE MAILED: 08/22/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	1	Applicant(s)					
	10/643,394	TSUBAKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Pamela R. Schwartz	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	ition appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutance of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  r (30) days will be considered timely.  FHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>June 8, 2006</i> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-19</u> is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa  * See the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in April the priority documents have been all Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/643,394 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

1. The examiner has carefully considered the entire record, including applicants' remarks and declaration filed with the most recent response. Upon careful reconsideration of the Held reference, the examiner believes that the ratios presented at col. 10, lines 7-11 of the reference must be in error because they are inconsistent with the examples and unsupported at any other point in the specification. Consequently, all rejections over Held et al. have been withdrawn. Due to the breadth of some of the claims, however, the examiner has determined that the following rejections are applicable.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 3-6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application Nos. 10/770,619, 10/833,842, 10/855,525, 10/886,433, 10/823,340, 10/868,481 and 10/935,049. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each of these copending applications recites in its claims an ink jet recording sheet having a layer comprising a hydrophilic

binder and an inorganic pigment. The binder is recited as cross-linked with ionizing radiation. Relying on the specifications to flesh out the embodiments recited by the claims of the copending applications, the claims of the applications are directed to the same kinds of binders with the same or overlapping polymerization degree that have photosensitive groups capable of dimerization as set forth by applicants' claim 6.

Determination of the ratio of components within conventional ranges would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. With respect to the inclusion of a multivalent metal compound, inclusion of these materials is well known in the art for its mordanting properties.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda et al. (6,562,441). The reference discloses a recording medium comprising a coating layer of a base material. The coating is a porous ink receiving layer formed from an aqueous composition comprising 100 parts by weight of a fine pigment of average diameter not larger than 1 micron and pore volume .4 to 2.5 ml/g and 1 to 100 parts by weight of a hydrophilic resin capable of forming a hydrogel by electron beam irradiation (see the abstract). The diameter of secondary particles is preferably 9 to 700 nm (col. 7, lines1-8). The hydrophilic polymer may have side chains introduced by graft polymerization (col. 7, line 66 to col. 8, line 30). The polymerization degree is not discloses but overlaps with the claimed range since the molecular weight of the resin is disclosed as in a range to 10,000 to 5,000,000 (col. 8, lines 37-52). Preferred amounts

Art Unit: 1774

of the resin are as small as possible (col. 9, lines 22-42). The pore volume of the ink receiving layer is disclosed as ml/g (col. 9, lines 43-57). Based upon this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize pore volume to provide the desired amount of ink absorption capability in the layer. The reference discloses that inorganic salts may be included for their cationic characteristic 9col. 10, lines 20-41). Such materials are also well known to those of ordinary skill in the art as multivalent metal compounds.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela Schwartz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1528.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRSchwartz August 19, 2006